

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/608,720	10/608,720 06/26/2003		Mark Bernsley	36590.009	6903	
21907	7590 08/18/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
ROZSA &		III DUADO	PHILLIPS, C	PHILLIPS, CHARLES E		
15910 VENTURA BOULEVARD SUITE 1601			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ENCINO, CA 91436				3751	3751	

DATE MAILED: 08/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A 1' 1		A 1' 4/ - 3	-+ ++ ++	
		Application N	iu.	Applicant(s)	110°	_
	Office Asticus C	10/608,720		BERNSLEY, MAR	K U	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
		Charles E. Ph		3751		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica r Reply	tion appears on the co	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress	
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA sions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) do period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to treply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after do patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, heation. ays, a reply within the statutory ry period will apply and will exp by statute, cause the application	owever, may a reply be tim minimum of thirty (30) days ire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on .				
·		\boxtimes This action is non-f	inal.			
3)[Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	•	•		merits is	
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are valued. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consid				
Application	on Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.				
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)					
	Applicant may not request that any objectio					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International ee the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been re cuments have been re he priority documents Bureau (PCT Rule 17	ceived. ceived in Application have been receivee (2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage	
Attachment 	` '	•				
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/26/03</u> .	948)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:	ite)-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/608,720

Art Unit: 3753

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15, 17-21, 28 and 30-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weiss in view of Brooke, Jr.

Weiss provides full response here in Figs 1-3 except for the front flap being sandwiched as set forth in claim 15, clause (b). Brooks teaches that missing from Weiss in the provision of the front flap having extension 8 employed to secure a seat cover under the toilet seat by being secured by the weight of the user. To provide the former with this securing mechanism would have been obvious to the ordinary artisan as both show toilet seat covers wherein it is desirable to provide securement thereof to the toilet seat.

With respect to the "smaller seating surface" of claim 15, clause (a), if such a surface is provided by the instant device then the Weiss device shown applied in Fig. 3 would do the same. It would appear that both would provide a larger seating surface due to a smaller central opening than the commode seat.

Claims 1-14, 16 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the art as applied to claim1 above, and further in view of Crossley et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/608,720

Art Unit: 3751

Page 3

To provide handles on the Weiss device as taught by Crossley et al in Fig. 1 would have been obvious to the ordinary artesian as same in shown used in an identical art device.

Claims 22-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weiss, as applied supra, in view of Crossley et al, as applied supra.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Charles Phillips at telephone number 308-1515.

Phillips/dl

July 7, 2004

Charles E. Phillips Primary Examiner